A Review on Current Trend in the Management of Necrotizing Fasciitis
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INTRODUCTION

Necrotizing fasciitis (NF) is a rapidly progressing, inflammatory infection of the fascia with the secondary involvement of soft tissues and skin. This infection is associated with progressive necrosis of any of the layers in the soft tissue compartment. The infection is commonly polymicrobial and sometimes methicillin resistant staphylococcal infection. It affects various parts of the body in males, females and paediatric age groups. It is a worldwide disease of public health concern and the mortality rate could be up to 100% if not diagnosed and treated early. It is classified based on the causative microorganisms and part of the body affected. There are four important types based on microbiological classification as follows: 1) Type 1 necrotizing fasciitis (polymicrobial infection), 2) type 2 (Monomicrobial Gram-positive organisms), 3) type 3 (Gram-negative monobacteria typically marine-related organisms). 4) Type 4 (Fungal infection). The diagnosis of necrotizing fasciitis comprises of multidisciplinary approach to include Microbiologists, Histopathologists, as well as Medical and Surgical teams. The clinical evaluation of the patient and laboratory analysis of the samples obtained from the wound site, will guide for appropriate treatment of the infection. The management includes immediate resuscitation of the patient, early administration of broad-spectrum parenteral antibiotics to cover for gram-positive, gram-negative, aerobes and anaerobic organisms such as cephalosporins, penicillins, quinolones, vancomycin, clindamycin and metronidazole. Empirical antibiotic treatment is considered before the result of culture and sensitivity is out Aggressive wound debridement in theatre provides a favourable outcome.
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Having a good and broad knowledge of current trend in handling cases of necrotizing fasciitis including dispilinary approach in its management, will certainly help in reducing the burden, morbidity and even mortality of the disease. 

The main aim of this review is to evaluate the current trend in handling a patient with necrotizing fasciitis.

The specific objectives are:
1. To understand the specific causes of the necrotizing fasciitis and possible risk factors.
2. To know the mode of development of the disease and most affected parts of the body.
3. To realize how to identify the disease and give suitable antibiotic therapies.
4. To reduce morbidity from the disease as much as possible.
5. To update young practitioners on how to handle a patient with necrotizing fasciitis.

EPIDEMIOLOGY
Necrotizing fasciitis is a worldwide infection of public health concern with greater prevalence especially in pre-antibiotic era. (Ozturk, et al., 2005). It has been predicted that 13 cases of necrotizing fasciitis per million of populations are hospitalized every year, and 20-30% of these patients die from the disease. The mortality rate could be up to 100% if not diagnosed and treated early (Misiakos et al., 2014). In United State America, the NF occurs in 4.3 per 100,000 of the population. In United Kingdom 500, new cases of necrotizing fasciitis were estimated per year. NF commonly occurs in male, and has a male to female ratio of 3:1; it affects the extremities more often than other parts of the body (Shaikh et al., 2012). According to Shaikh et al., (2012) 54% of patients could have NF of the extremities while Anaya et al., (2005) reported 58.7% of patients with NF of the extremities. Necrotizing fasciist of the perineum and genitalia occurs in 20%, while chest, flanks, shoulder as well as hip and gluteal region are affected in about 8.5% of the patients. Abdominal and cervical necrotizing fasciitis are uncommon (about 5.3% and 2.1% occurs respectively). NF is also frequent in paediatric and neonatal age group. It hardly affects the scalp (Shaikh et al., 2012).

However, according to the study conducted by Legbo and Shehu, (2005) at Sokoto, Northern Nigeria, NF affects up to 70.9% of the extremities in adults (lower limb 54.2% and upper limb 16.7%) whereas in children up to 34.4% of both extremities were affected. Almost 20.8% of cases were seen in the perineum. They also reported that most of the NF cases were detected in children compared to adult. Similarly, Obimakinde et al., (2012) reported 12 confirmed cases of cervicofacial NF out of 48 patients admitted at university college hospital Ibadan, Nigeria. Male to female ratio was 4:8 and the age range of the study subjects was 42 to 83 years. However, previous studies on cervicofacial necrotizing fasciitis from South-Western Nigeria by Ndukwe et al., (2002) and Obiechina et al., (2001) revealed higher male preponderance of 5:2 and 5:3 in the order given.

CLASSIFICATION
Necrotizing fasciitis is categorized based on part of the body involved and the type of microorganisms causing the infection. It is known as idiopathic necrotizing fasciitis when it occurs without any triggering factor.

According to the part of the body involved, it is classified as:
- a) Cervicofacial necrotizing fasciitis (when it affects head and neck)
- b) Abdominal necrotizing fasciitis (Meleney’s gangrene)
- c) Fournier’s gangrene (Perineum and genitalia necrotizing fasciitis)

Based on the causative organisms, it is categorized into four types, (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Type of NF</th>
<th>Causative Organisms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Type I necrotizing fasciitis</td>
<td>Synergistic polymicrobial infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Type II necrotizing fasciitis</td>
<td>Monobacterial gram-positive organisms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Type III necrotizing fasciitis</td>
<td>Gram-negative monobacteria (marine organisms)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Type IV necrotizing fasciitis</td>
<td>Fungal infection.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: El-Menyar et al., 2017).

**Type I Necrotizing Fasciitis**
Is a polymicrobial, consisting of mixed growth of anaerobes and aerobes, bowel flora derived in addition, is synergistic infection.
Some of the common microorganisms involved include *E. coli*, *Pseudomaonas* spp., *Bacteroides* spp., *Vibrio* spp., *Staphylococcus* spp., and *Streptococcal* spp. This type of NF affects the perineum and trunk (Irwin and English, 2013; Goh et al., 2014). It is a common type of necrotizing fasciitis. It frequently affects patients with diabetes mellitus. It accounts for 70-90% of cases (Misiakos et al., 2014).

**Type II Necrotizing Fasciitis**

This is a Monobacterial; the causative organism will be Group A/β-haemolytic streptococcus (*Streptococcus Pyogenes*) either alone, or in combination with the *Staphylococcus aureus*. Even though *S. aureus* singly can cause the type II infection, which secrete toxins that cause destruction of the leukocyte and tissue necrosis. It is difficult to manage, particularly when it is caused by the methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA), which is found in 10–30% of all cases. Type II NF is skin or throat derived infection. It commonly affects the extremities, and occurs in young and immunocompetent patients following a small surgical wound or prolonged use of Non-Steroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs (NSAID). Typically associated with toxic shock syndrome and multiple organ dysfunctions. The outcome is unfavourable (Misiakos et al., 2014; Shaikh et al., 2012).

**Type III Necrotizing Fasciitis**

Type III NF is caused by gram-negative and marine-related bacteria, such as *Vibrio* specie (*Vibrio vulnificus*) and *Aeromonas hydrophila* (found in fresh water and soil) or *Clostridium* specie (*C. perfringens*), especially among drug abusers. The portal/route of entry for this type is a punctured wound, caused by fish or marine insects or cut injury exposed to the seawater. It is also related to external injuries and surgical wounds. A hyper acute infection (fulminant) can easily cause septic shock and Multi Organ Dysfunction Syndrome (MODS) in less than 24 hour of the injury. Up to 100% mortality may occur when not recognized early. Most people at risk are farmers (Misiakos et al., 2014; Shaikh et al., 2012).

**Type IV Necrotizing Fasciitis**

Type IV NFs caused by fungal infection (*Candida* spp. *Mucor*, *Rhizopus* and *Zygomycetes*). It can spread rapidly to severe form of the disease. It occurs in immunocompromized or poorly traumatized patients. (Shaikh et al., 2012; Misiakos et al., 2014). The organisms isolated in the study of Legbo and Shehu, (2005) at Sokoto, Northern Nigeria were *P. aeruginosa > S. aureus > Klebsiella > Streptococcus Pyogenes > E. coli* among adult patients. *S. aureus > Streptococcus Pyogenes > E. coli > P. aeruginosa > Klebsiella*, in children (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolated Bacteria</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>S. aureus</em></td>
<td>60.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>51.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Klebsiella</em></td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus Pyogenes</em></td>
<td>46.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**Pathogenesis**

The main site of infection is superficial fascia, then the infection spread through the fascial planes without involvement of skin, this is known as horizontal spread. The horizontal spread starts with initial trivial injury where the bacteria invade the superficial fascia, proliferate and produce hyaluronidase enzymes (Seal, 2001). These enzymes cause degradation and necrosis of the fascial layers, which provides more favourable atmosphere for bacterial growth, and uncontrolled spread of the infection. That is why patients become sicker without significant local manifestations. As the disease progresses, the vertical spread of infection ensues, which leads to the involvement of skin, subcutaneous tissue, deeper fascia and muscles (Seal, 2001).
As soon as the necrosis of the superficial fascia take place, there will be infiltration of the leucocyte into the deeper fascia, dermis, thrombosis and suppuration of veins and arteries passing through the fascia. This results in occlusion of the dermal nutrient vessel, progressive ischemia and gangrene of the overlying skin (Seal, 2001). The streptococcus organism as in type II NF produces a large number of virulence factors and exotoxin. The virulence factors damage host tissues by inactivating polymorphonuclear cells. The exotoxin augments the virulence and accelerates progression of the infection (Sarani et al., 2009). Streptococcus and staphylococci produce surface antigen M1, M3, exotoxins A, B, C, streptolysin O, and super antigens. An M protein increases adherence ability of these bacteria, which aids microorganisms in avoiding the phagocytosis. Exotoxin A and B causes loss of vascular integrity leading to capillary leakage and tissue oedema. The effect of these virulent factors and exotoxin is ultimately rapid spread of infection especially in type II necrotizing fasciitis. (Shaikh et al., 2012; Seal, 2001). Therefore, such patients often present with the toxic shock syndrome (TSS) and multiorgan dysfunction syndrome (MODS).

**Diagnosis**

The diagnosis of necrotizing fasciitis comprises both clinical and laboratory aspects. The clinical diagnosis involves mainly clinical evaluation of the patient while the laboratory diagnosis involves analysis of the samples obtained from the wound site in the laboratory. This will certainly guide for appropriate treatment of the infection.

**Clinical Diagnosis**

The clinical diagnosis is based on history of symptoms and signs of the disease at patient’s presentation. Similarly, the affected part of the body (Figures 1-4) and history of risk factors/comorbidity will help in determining the likely type of necrotizing fasciitis clinically. The triad of symptoms are local pain, swelling, and erythema (Goh et al., 2014). The pain is disproportionate to swelling and erythema. Fever is considered as a common symptom, followed by clinical findings on examination such as Tachycardia (pulse rate >100bpm), and tachypnea (RR>20/min) and systolic hypotension (SBP<100 mmHg). These clinical findings and erythematous skin can be useful in the diagnosis of necrotizing fasciitis (Shimizu & Tokuda, 2010). Other important signs include skin tenderness, sclerosis, bullae (which are initially serous and subsequently become haemorrhagic) and necrosis at the site of the infection (Frazee et al., 2008).

However, sometimes the patients may not present with the above clinical features but rather two groups of presentations can be evident, that is to say early and late presentations. In early presentation, patients present with pain, fever, erythema, local warmth, skin sclerosis and oedema. In fulminant form of the disease, there will be features of severe septic shock and multiple organ dysfunction syndrome along with soft tissue necrosis. In this situation, the general condition of the patient worsens rapidly within a short period. In the late presentation, the clinical course of the infection is slow which may take days to weeks. Patients present more often with features of septic shock or multiorgan dysfunction syndrome such as high temperature, tachycardia, hypotension, tachypnea, elevated white blood cell count, electrolyte derangement, acidosis and coagulopathy. Other symptoms of systemic toxicity include apathy, dehydration, confusion, dizziness, diarrhoea, nausea, vomiting, weakness, and malaise (Roje et al., 2011). There will be haemorrhagic bullae, loss of skin sensation, crepitus (due to gas formation indicative of anaerobic organism especially C. per-fringens) and extensive skin necrosis at the affected site (Table 3).

It is important to note that, some patients might be taking analgesics, steroids and antibiotics before presentation and this can lessen body temperature and conceal fever. Therefore, absence of fever or elevated temperature does not mean to exclude the diagnosis of necrotizing fasciitis (Goh et al., 2014; Shimizu & Tokuda, 2010).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage 1 (early)</th>
<th>Stage 2 (intermediate)</th>
<th>Stage 3 (late)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Erythema</td>
<td>Serous bullae/blisters</td>
<td>Haemorrhagic bullae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swelling</td>
<td>Skin fluctuance</td>
<td>Loss of skin sensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warm</td>
<td>Skin induration</td>
<td>Crepitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenderness</td>
<td></td>
<td>Extensive necrosis with dusky, blue/purple skin discoulouration to gangrene</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Cervicofacial Necrotizing fasciitis. Necrotizing fasciitis affecting face and neck of an adult patient with necrotic tissues in the wound.

Figure 2: Necrotizing fasciitis of the upper and lower limbs. Source: Goh et al., (2014).
Figure (A) shows necrotizing fasciitis of left upper limb before surgical debridement while figure (B) after the debridement in the theatre. Figure (C) shows necrotizing fasciitis of the left lower limb before surgical debridement while figure (D) after the debridement.

Figure 3: Abdominal and chest necrotizing fasciitis. Source: El-Menyar et al., (2017).
The figures above depict abdominal necrotizing fasciitis (Meleney’s gangrene) extending to the chest after surgical debridement. The second figure shows application of vacuum assisted compression (VAC), to aid in wound healing by aspirating exudate of serous fluid and pus collection from the wound site.
Laboratory Diagnosis

The laboratory diagnosis depends on findings from laboratory investigations such as Full blood count and differentials to reveal evidence of anaemia and leucocytosis. Serum electrolyte, urea and creatinine (E/U/Cr) to show if there is renal impairment and electrolyte derangement. Blood sugar level to know if the patients are diabetics. Urinalysis to detect glycosuria. C-reactive protein level as a marker for inflammatory process (Misiakos et al., 2014). Liver function test (LFT) and Clotting profile, to show evidence of multiorgan dysfunction. Gram staining, Blood culture and Wound swab microscopy, culture and sensitivity (MCS) for identification of causative organism and guide to treatment. Microbiological diagnosis is obtainable in about 75% of patients with necrotizing fasciitis. This can be achieved when blood and pus samples are taken correctly before and during operation. Positive blood culture is obtainable in 25% of cases whereas cultures taken from the wound site during surgical debridement can be positive in up to 80% of patients (Misiakos et al., 2014). Laboratory Risk Indicator for Necrotizing Fasciitis (LRINEC) score is a predictive tool for the diagnosis of necrotizing fasciitis using laboratory parameters (Table 4).
Table 4: Laboratory Risk Indicator for Necrotizing Fasciitis (LRINEC) Score.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable (units)</th>
<th>Score points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-Reactive Protein (CRP) (mg/L)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;150</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;150</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White blood cell count (10^9/l)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-25</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;25</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin (g/dl)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;13.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-13.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;11</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum Sodium (mmol/L)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥135</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;135</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum Creatinine (mg/dl)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤1.6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1.6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum Glucose (mg/dl)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤180</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;180</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


A LRINEC score of ≥6 is suspicious of necrotizing fasciitis (has a positive and negative predictive values for necrotizing fasciitis of 92% and 96% respectively) while a LINCE score of ≥8 (has a positive predictive value>92% and specificity of 95%) is strongly indicative of necrotizing fasciitis (Shaikh et al., 2012; Irwin and English, 2013).

Bedside tests and radiological investigations should be performed in patients with equivocal LRINE score (≥6 but <8). Finger test is a bedside procedure performed by making a 2 cm incision on the infected area under local anaesthesia down to the deep fascia, followed by blunt and gentle finger dissection into the wound. Appearance of typical “dishwater pus” (offensive, brown-coloured pus), absence of bleeding and absence of tissue resistance to finger dissection are positive findings related to necrotizing fasciitis. The pus is for microscopy, culture and sensitivity to guide in diagnosis and treatment. Incisional biopsy for histology is another important bedside test, it is performed by making a deep surgical cut over the necrotic area down to the fascial level to remove about 1 cm of soft tissue that will be stained and cultured. In necrotizing fasciitis, the histological investigation will reveal vasculitis, thrombosed blood vessels, necrosis, polymorphonuclear infiltrate and some microbes. The combination of surgical exploration and microbiological and histopathological analysis of 1cm³ of the soft tissue is the gold standard for confirming diagnosis (Morgan, 2010; Misiakos et al., 2014).

Radiological Diagnosis
Radiological investigations can be helpful in equivocal cases of necrotizing fasciitis. A plain radiograph can show gas formation in the soft tissue indicative of infection by *Clostridium specie* (Ruiz-Tovar et al., 2012). Computerized tomography (CT) scan shows the extent of inflammation, tissue necrosis, fascial swelling and gas formation while magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) provides better diagnostic accuracy than CT. Ultrasound scan (USS) may also be useful in some cases (Nagano et al, 2008).

Differential Diagnosis
The differential diagnosis of necrotizing fasciitis includes cellulitis, Clostridial myonecrosis, deep vein thrombosis, toxic shock syndrome, acute epididymitis and orchitis (Edlich, 2017; Irwin and English, 2013).

Complications
Some of the complications of necrotizing fasciitis are septicaemia, anaemia, contracture/joint stiffness, tetanus and chronic osteomyelitis, pressure sores, multi-organ dysfunction syndrome and wound infected with methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (Legbo and Shehu, 2005; Roje et al., 2011).
Treatment
The successful treatment of necrotizing fasciitis involves both medical and surgical interventions (Yadav et al., 2012) as follows:

Medical Treatment
The medical treatment comprises of supportive and parenteral antibiotic therapy. It is always important to make sure that the patient’s airway is patent and can breathe well. The supportive treatment depends on the condition of the patient at presentation. It includes immediate resuscitation with intravenous fluid when the patient is dehydrated with or without shock, correction of electrolyte derangement, correction of anaemia with blood transfusion, correcting hyperglycaemia and nutritional support. (Misiakos et al., 2014; Roje et al., 2011) Bedside wound dressing can be done while preparing the patient for debridement in theatre.

Broad-spectrum antibiotics should be started early to cover for Gram positive, Gram-negative aerobes and anaerobic organisms. An empirical combination of triple antibiotics such as Penicillin G, Gentamycin, and Clindamycin (Roje et al., 2011) or Clindamycin, Meropenem, and Vancomycin particularly in suspected case of Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus aureus (Irwin and English, 2013). These antibiotics can be administered early at presentation that might be adjusted when Gram stain, culture and sensitivity results are out. Another alternative is to combine at least three antibiotics from the classes of broad-spectrum antibiotics such as cephalosporins, aminoglycosides, clindamycin, penicillins and metronidazole. (Hernández et al., 2017). Similarly, the antibiotics can be given according to the suspected type of necrotizing fasciitis. For type 1 NF consider ampicillin or ampicillin-sulbactam + 3rd /4th generation cephalosporins + clindamycin/metronidazole. For type 2 NF: 1st or 2nd generation cephalosporins/penicillins + clindamycin/metronidazole. In cases where MRSA is suspected vancomycin is added to the combination above. For type 3 NF: penicillins + clindamycin for suspected Clostridial infection. Third generation cephalosporins + tetracyclines (doxycycline or minocycline) in suspected case of vibrio infection. For type 4 NF: use of antifungals such as amphotericin B or fluoroconazoles (Misiakos et al., 2014).

The use of hyperbaric oxygen in the management of necrotizing fasciitis is controversial not yet proven beneficial to patients but rather may even delay the necessary medical and surgical interventions. Hence, not recommended by the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA). However, the use of intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) was recommended by IDSA in patients with Streptococcus Pyogenes infection (Hernández et al., 2017). Because it contains antibodies which neutralize streptococcal antigen. It is given as 2g/kg infusion stat which can be repeated as a second dose after 24 hours when necessary (Irwin and English, 2013).

Surgical Treatment
The surgical treatment is the aggressive surgical debridement in the theatre this is the most important aspect in the management of necrotizing fasciitis. The main aim of debridement is to remove all the necrotic tissue (necroctomy) and infected fascia (fasciectomy) at once to prevent subsequent and unnecessary going back to theatre (See Figure 5 & 6) (Wong et al., 2008). Post-operative wound care including regular dressing is very important to enhance wound healing. The use of vacuum-assisted closure (VAC) therapy was recommendable worldwide by numerous surgeons due to its effectiveness in wound closure. A VAC device comprises of a sterile, open-cell foam sponge that is positioned in the wound, the size is adjustable to the wound size. It is then covered with a transparent adhesive drape to create an airtight environment. The sponge is linked to a portable vacuum pump by means of non-collapsible tube. Evacuation is applied to the sponge using the pump, which creates continuous negative pressure (See figure 3 above) (Misiakos et al., 2014). Most patients may need skin grafting or release of contracture by the plastic surgeons.
The infected skin is classified into 3 zones. Zone 1 (necrotic tissue), Zone 2 (infected but potentially salvageable soft tissue) and Zone 3 (non-infected and viable skin). Zone 1 is completely excisable. Zone 2 is carefully assessable to excise the non-viable tissue while protecting the salvageable tissue. Zone 3 is unaffected; it is therefore left untouched.

Figure 6: Foul-smelling, turbid “dishwater” pus seen in necrotizing fasciitis. Source: Wong et al., (2008).

Figure 6 above shows approach to debridement in necrotizing fasciitis and exploration of the wound to get access to the dishwater as shown by arrow. This serves as the sample for microscopy, culture and sensitivity test.

Prognosis
Mortality from NF could be up to 75% despite intervention. Delayed surgical intervention for ≥ 24 hours is associated with significant increase in mortality (Cheung et al., 2009). Other reasons of increased mortality are old age, diabetes mellitus and ≥ 2 comorbid illnesses. Patients with NF of the chest, axilla, abdomen, lumber and gluteal regions have the highest mortality while patients with Fournier’s gangrene have the lowest mortality (Shaikh & Rashid, 2008). Type 2 & 4 necrotizing fasciitis has the worst prognosis among others (Shaikh, et al., 2012).

CONCLUSION
Necrotizing fasciitis is rapidly progressing, inflammatory infection of the fascia with the secondary involvement of skin, subcutaneous tissues and muscle.
It is highly associated with very quick progressive necrosis of any of the layers in the soft tissue compartment, such as dermis, subcutaneous tissue, superficial fascia, deep fascia and muscle. It affects different parts of the body with slight male preponderance. It has a high morbidity and mortality. The mortality rate could be up to 100% if not diagnosed and treated early. Thus, it is both medical and surgical emergency. Diagnosis is made using clinical presentation and LRINEC Score. However, microbiological, surgical and histological findings confirm the diagnosis. Immediate resuscitation, early administration of broad-spectrum parenteral antibiotics and early debridement provide a favourable outcome.

RECOMMENDATIONS
The following are recommendable as part of the principle management of necrotizing fasciitis;

1. The use of clinical presentations, parts of the body involved, social history as well as history of comorbid illness in making diagnosis.
2. A Laboratory Risk Indicator for Necrotizing Fasciitis (LRINEC) Score should be assessed to ensure the working diagnosis.
3. Microbiological, surgical and histopathological analysis should be used to confirm the final diagnosis.
4. Immediate medical interventions and administration of broad-spectrum parenteral antibiotics.
5. Early and aggressive debridement is encouraged as soon as possible.
6. Woundcare after debridement and nutritional support should be taken in to consideration in patients with necrotizing fasciitis.
7. Early ambulation is also encouraged to prevent the development of deep veins thrombosis (DVT) and contractures.
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